

Research article

Open Access

## Aberrant epigenetic changes and gene expression in cloned cattle dying around birth

Li Lin<sup>†1</sup>, Qiang Li<sup>†1</sup>, Lei Zhang<sup>1</sup>, Dingsheng Zhao<sup>1,2</sup>, Yunping Dai<sup>1</sup> and Ning Li<sup>\*1</sup>

Address: <sup>1</sup>State Key Laboratory for Agrobiotechnology, China Agricultural University, Yuanmingyuan West Road 2, Beijing 100094, P. R. China and <sup>2</sup>Institute of Space Medico-Engineering, Yuanmingyuan West Road 2, Beijing 100094, P. R. China

Email: Li Lin - linlicau@yahoo.com.cn; Qiang Li - Qli79@hotmail.com; Lei Zhang - red3stones@vip.sina.com; Dingsheng Zhao - zhaodingsheng@gmail.com; Yunping Dai - daiyunping@sina.com; Ning Li\* - ninglbau@public3.bta.net.cn

\* Corresponding author †Equal contributors

Published: 11 February 2008

Received: 3 April 2007

BMC Developmental Biology 2008, 8:14 doi:10.1186/1471-213X-8-14

Accepted: 11 February 2008

This article is available from: <http://www.biomedcentral.com/1471-213X/8/14>

© 2008 Lin et al; licensee BioMed Central Ltd.

This is an Open Access article distributed under the terms of the Creative Commons Attribution License (<http://creativecommons.org/licenses/by/2.0>), which permits unrestricted use, distribution, and reproduction in any medium, provided the original work is properly cited.

### Abstract

**Background:** Aberrant reprogramming of donor somatic cell nuclei may result in many severe problems in animal cloning. To assess the extent of abnormal epigenetic modifications and gene expression in clones, we simultaneously examined DNA methylation, histone H4 acetylation and expression of six genes (*β-actin*, *VEGF*, *oct4*, *TERT*, *H19* and *Igf2*) and a repetitive sequence (*art2*) in five organs (heart, liver, spleen, lung and kidney) from two cloned cattle groups that had died at different stages. In the ED group (early death, n = 3), the cloned cattle died in the perinatal period. The cattle in the LD group (late death, n = 3) died after the perinatal period. Normally reproduced cattle served as a control group (n = 3).

**Results:** Aberrant DNA methylation, histone H4 acetylation and gene expression were observed in both cloned groups. The ED group showed relatively fewer severe DNA methylation abnormalities (p < 0.05) but more abnormal histone H4 acetylations (p < 0.05) and more abnormal expression (p < 0.05) of the selected genes compared to the LD group. However, our data also suggest no widespread gene expression abnormalities in the organs of the dead clones.

**Conclusion:** Deaths of clones may be ascribed to abnormal expression of a very limited number of genes.

### Background

More and more mammals have been successfully cloned by somatic cell nuclear transfer (SCNT) [1-8]. The new technology attracts great interest because of its potential applications in biomedicine and husbandry [9,10]. However, cloned animals show many abnormalities including low birth rate, placental dysfunctions and large offspring syndrome [11], which collectively appear to be a great barrier to efficient cloning. In SCNT, somatic nuclei must be

remodeled from highly differentiated somatic patterns to a totipotent embryonic pattern in order to support early development. This process is called epigenetic reprogramming [12] and is regarded as a reverse of cell differentiation [13]. The reprogramming process is crucial for embryo cloning and determines whether development will continue [14]. It is generally held that incomplete or aberrant reprogramming of donor somatic cell nuclei

results in low cloning efficiency and developmental abnormalities.

DNA methylation and histone modification play important roles in genome reprogramming and expression of genes that control animal development. DNA methylation is a major epigenetic modification of the genome and is crucial for genomic stability. It usually occurs at the 5' position of cytosine in symmetrical 5'-CpG-3' dinucleotides. Clusters of CpGs, termed CpG islands [15], are found in the promoter or the first exon of a gene in most cases [16]. Generally, methylation of CpG islands represses the initiation of transcription [17]. Histone amino termini are subject to many dynamic sets of covalent modifications that are thought to be involved in modulating important physiological activities including gene expression [18]. One such modification, histone acetylation, generally takes place in active chromatin regions and is associated with the activation of gene expression. It participates in regulating transcription during the development of preimplantation embryos.

Epigenetic abnormalities in DNA methylation and histone acetylation are common in cloned mammalian embryos or fetuses. A genome-wide reduction in cytosine methylation has been observed in cloned fetuses [19]. Defective demethylation and precocious *de novo* methylation on a genome-wide scale, and aberrant demethylation of some repetitive DNA elements, have been observed in preimplantation embryos [20,21]. Furthermore, DNA methylation of *Igf2* DMRs not only vary in different tissues but are also extensively changed during the perinatal period in normal mouse tissues [22]. Higher levels of histone acetylation were observed in swamp buffalo SCNT embryos than in in vitro fertilized (IVF) embryos at the 4- and 8-cell stages [23]. The levels of acetylated histone H4-lysine 5 at the 8-cell stage were lower in cloned than in IVF bovine embryos, and it was suggested that these abnormalities may be caused by the memory of the somatic chromatin pattern [24]. These observations indicate that epigenetic abnormalities occur frequently during the early development of cloned embryos. However, little is known about DNA methylation and histone acetylation in cloned mammals after birth or about the incidence of aberrant epigenetic modifications.

Several genes have been shown to be crucial for embryo development, organogenesis and growth.  *$\beta$ -actin* is a housekeeping gene [25] expressed in most eukaryotic cells and takes part in many physiological processes [25,26]. Vascular endothelial growth factor (*VEGF*) is a pivotal factor promoting the formation of blood vessels in vasculogenesis and angiogenesis. The reduction in *VEGF* expression during embryo development causes decreased angiogenesis and is ultimately lethal [27-29]. *Oct4* is a

mammalian POU transcription factor and is expressed in all totipotent and pluripotent cells [30]. Mammalian telomerase is essential for maintaining telomere length [31], and the *TERT* gene product is part of the catalytic core of this enzyme. Imprinted genes play essential roles in the early development of mammals [32,33]. Maternal expression of the histocompatibility gene (*H19*) and paternal expression of insulin-like growth factor2 (*Igf2*) are observed in cattle [34,35]. *Art2* is an alu-like repetitive element with at least 100,000 copies in the bovine genome [36].

In the present study, we examined DNA methylation and histone H4 acetylation and expression in the six genes mentioned above, and in a repetitive sequence, in heart, liver, spleen, lung and kidney from cloned cattle that had died at different stages. We aimed to reveal epigenetic and gene expression changes in these clones.

## Results

### **DNA methylation of the six genes and the *art2* repetitive sequence in five organs from controls and cloned cattle**

CpG islands located in the six selected genes and the *art2* repetitive sequence were predicted using online software and amplified by PCR. The sizes of the amplified fragments and the number of CpG sites in each island are shown in Additional File 2, Table S1. The identities of all amplified PCR products were verified by sequencing. The methylation patterns of the CpG islands were determined using bisulfite-assisted sequencing. Detailed results are shown in Additional File 1: Data of DNA methylation, histone acetylation and gene expression in individual cattle.

Percentage DNA methylation in the six genes and the *art2* repetitive sequence in heart, liver, spleen, lung and kidney from cloned cattle and controls are shown in Table 1. In the controls (group N), DNA methylation did not vary much among individuals or even among different organs from the same animal, suggesting that DNA methylation is maintained at approximately steady levels in normal cattle.

Aberrant DNA methylation was found in the six selected genes but not in *art2* in the different organs of the ED and LD groups compared with the controls. Detailed results are shown in Table 2. In the ED group, methylation of *oct4* was elevated in lung, while methylation of *Igf2* was reduced in kidney. In the LD group,  *$\beta$ -actin* methylation was decreased in lung. In contrast, there were higher levels of methylation of *VEGF* in spleen, *oct4* in lung, *TERT* in liver, *H19* in spleen and *Igf2* in heart and kidney ( $P < 0.05$ ). Apparently there was more aberrant DNA methylation in the LD group than the ED group.

**Table 1: DNA methylation in five organs of normal control and dead cloned cattle<sup>a</sup>**

|                | Group | Heart (%)                 | Liver (%)                 | Spleen (%)                | Lung (%)                  | Kidney (%)                |
|----------------|-------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|---------------------------|
| <i>β-actin</i> | N     | 39.41 ± 1.02              | 41.37 ± 1.48              | 39.80 ± 0.90              | 40.98 ± 0.34              | 39.02 ± 1.70              |
|                | ED    | 38.63 ± 0.33              | 39.22 ± 0.90              | 38.82 ± 1.18              | 40.39 ± 0.34              | 39.81 ± 1.36              |
|                | LD    | 38.43 ± 0.90              | 39.61 ± 0.34              | 39.80 ± 0.90              | 39.61 ± 0.68 <sup>b</sup> | 39.80 ± 0.34              |
| <i>VEGF</i>    | N     | 6.81 ± 2.55               | 2.46 ± 0.66               | 2.75 ± 1.09               | 6.67 ± 4.40               | 7.54 ± 2.65               |
|                | ED    | 8.40 ± 6.19               | 2.46 ± 0.91               | 4.53 ± 2.34               | 5.36 ± 2.40               | 3.48 ± 3.28               |
|                | LD    | 7.68 ± 3.48               | 7.10 ± 4.57               | 6.39 ± 4.39 <sup>b</sup>  | 4.20 ± 0.66               | 8.99 ± 3.38               |
| <i>art2</i>    | N     | 30.00 ± 3.46              | 36.00 ± 2.00              | 40.67 ± 4.62              | 40.67 ± 4.16              | 38.00 ± 10.58             |
|                | ED    | 35.33 ± 9.24              | 30.00 ± 2.00              | 35.33 ± 11.55             | 38.67 ± 13.32             | 38.00 ± 8.72              |
|                | LD    | 39.33 ± 4.16              | 31.33 ± 5.77              | 35.33 ± 18.90             | 29.33 ± 12.06             | 39.33 ± 3.06              |
| <i>oct4</i>    | N     | 59.36 ± 10.90             | 58.64 ± 10.43             | 53.75 ± 8.55              | 51.30 ± 6.52              | 44.97 ± 10.68             |
|                | ED    | 40.56 ± 10.21             | 64.55 ± 2.27              | 59.86 ± 5.22              | 74.31 ± 5.88 <sup>b</sup> | 37.94 ± 16.72             |
|                | LD    | 40.44 ± 6.14              | 54.47 ± 3.67              | 49.08 ± 6.12              | 66.75 ± 8.95 <sup>b</sup> | 38.97 ± 5.68              |
| <i>TERT</i>    | N     | 70.83 ± 4.39              | 65.55 ± 7.09              | 72.50 ± 13.23             | 70.70 ± 13.18             | 75.97 ± 8.75              |
|                | ED    | 73.61 ± 14.00             | 73.33 ± 2.92              | 78.33 ± 6.86              | 75.56 ± 6.25              | 77.50 ± 9.46              |
|                | LD    | 74.44 ± 11.13             | 81.67 ± 3.31 <sup>b</sup> | 82.08 ± 2.20              | 87.08 ± 4.81              | 80.50 ± 11.82             |
| <i>H19</i>     | N     | 75.00 ± 18.33             | 71.11 ± 5.14              | 63.75 ± 20.31             | 85.28 ± 7.13              | 62.64 ± 7.34              |
|                | ED    | 83.89 ± 12.46             | 64.31 ± 10.48             | 72.36 ± 3.39              | 76.11 ± 12.25             | 57.36 ± 15.73             |
|                | LD    | 87.36 ± 7.89              | 64.17 ± 10.10             | 74.72 ± 9.22 <sup>b</sup> | 83.34 ± 12.77             | 65.97 ± 10.97             |
| <i>Igf2</i>    | N     | 85.56 ± 2.68              | 75.83 ± 18.39             | 85.00 ± 7.64              | 81.39 ± 12.62             | 74.17 ± 2.2               |
|                | ED    | 83.61 ± 5.43              | 65.83 ± 14.53             | 91.39 ± 3.37              | 86.95 ± 8.91              | 63.33 ± 6.29 <sup>b</sup> |
|                | LD    | 95.83 ± 0.84 <sup>b</sup> | 83.05 ± 2.92              | 90.00 ± 3.64              | 88.61 ± 2.93              | 83.89 ± 2.93 <sup>b</sup> |

<sup>a</sup> Values are presented as the mean ± SEM. N denotes normal control, ED denotes early death group, and LD denotes late death group.

<sup>b</sup> denotes significant difference (p < 0.05) between ED and N, LD and N.

**Histone H4 acetylation in the six selected genes and the art2 repetitive sequence in five organs from the cloned cattle**

Aberrant histone H4 acetylation was found in organs from both two cloned groups. Detailed results are shown in Table 3. All six genes and the *art2* repetitive sequence in the ED group and four genes in the LD group, showed aberrant histone H4 acetylation in different organs. Acetylation of *art2* was shown to be aberrant in all five

organs from the ED group, but only in liver and lung from the LD group. Aberrant acetylation of *β-actin* was observed in lung and kidney from the ED group and in spleen and kidney from the LD group. *VEGF* showed aberrant acetylation in heart and lung from the ED group and in liver, lung and kidney from the LD group. *oct4* showed aberrant acetylation in liver, spleen and lung from the ED group and in heart and lung from the LD group. *TERT* showed decreased acetylation in kidney from the ED group. Two imprinted genes, *H19* and *Igf2*, showed a tendency towards increased acetylation in liver, spleen, heart, lung and kidney of the ED group.

**Table 2: Variations of DNA methylation in two cloned groups compared to normal control<sup>a</sup>**

|                | Group | Heart | Liver | Spleen | Lung | Kidney |
|----------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|------|--------|
| <i>β-actin</i> | ED    |       |       |        |      |        |
|                | LD    |       |       |        | Down |        |
| <i>VEGF</i>    | ED    |       |       |        |      |        |
|                | LD    |       |       | Up     |      |        |
| <i>art2</i>    | ED    |       |       |        |      |        |
|                | LD    |       |       |        |      |        |
| <i>Oct4</i>    | ED    |       |       |        | Up   |        |
|                | LD    |       |       |        | Up   |        |
| <i>TERT</i>    | ED    |       |       |        |      |        |
|                | LD    |       | Up    |        |      |        |
| <i>H19</i>     | ED    |       |       |        |      |        |
|                | LD    |       |       | Up     |      |        |
| <i>Igf2</i>    | ED    |       |       |        |      | Down   |
|                | LD    | Up    |       |        |      | Up     |

<sup>a</sup> Up, denote a significantly higher of DNA methylation than normal control. Down, denote a significantly lower of DNA methylation than normal control. ED denotes early death group and LD denotes late death group.

As summarized in Table 4, abnormalities in histone H4 acetylation were observed in heart, liver, spleen, lung and kidney from both cloned groups. The ED group showed more abnormal histone H4 acetylation than the LD group. In heart, ED showed three aberrantly acetylated genes and LD showed one. In liver, spleen, lung and kidney, ED showed 3, 3, 5 and 4 aberrantly acetylated genes respectively, and LD showed 2, 1, 3 and 2 respectively. In the ED group, the repetitive sequence *art2* showed aberrant acetylation in all five organs, but in the LD group it was aberrantly acetylated only in liver and lung. In the ED group, *β-actin*, *VEGF*, *oct4*, *TERT*, *H19* and *Igf2* showed aberrant acetylation in 2, 2, 3, 1, 2 and 3 organs respectively, while in the LD group they were aberrantly acetylated in 2, 3, 2, 0, 0 and 0 organs respectively. These data suggest that histone H4 acetylation was more fre-

**Table 3: Relative histone H4 acetylation in five organs of dead cloned cattle compared to normal control<sup>a</sup>**

|                | Group | Heart                    | Liver                    | Spleen                   | Lung                     | Kidney                   |
|----------------|-------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| <i>β-actin</i> | N     | 1.00 ± 0.30              | 1.00 ± 0.17              | 1.00 ± 0.18              | 1.00 ± 0.12              | 1.00 ± 0.08              |
|                | ED    | 0.82 ± 0.16              | 0.81 ± 0.32              | 1.02 ± 0.22              | 0.47 ± 0.15 <sup>b</sup> | 2.33 ± 0.13 <sup>c</sup> |
|                | LD    | 1.26 ± 0.17              | 0.63 ± 0.15              | 0.61 ± 0.12 <sup>b</sup> | 0.82 ± 0.18              | 3.12 ± 0.79 <sup>c</sup> |
| <i>VEGF</i>    | N     | 1.00 ± 0.51              | 1.00 ± 0.31              | 1.00 ± 0.38              | 1.00 ± 0.23              | 1.00 ± 0.53              |
|                | ED    | 2.14 ± 0.09 <sup>b</sup> | 0.76 ± 0.19              | 1.07 ± 0.18              | 0.60 ± 0.04 <sup>b</sup> | 1.93 ± 0.59              |
|                | LD    | 1.23 ± 0.16              | 0.47 ± 0.06 <sup>b</sup> | 1.27 ± 0.26              | 0.66 ± 0.08 <sup>b</sup> | 3.23 ± 0.49 <sup>c</sup> |
| <i>art2</i>    | N     | 1.00 ± 0.20              | 1.00 ± 0.54              | 1.00 ± 0.64              | 1.00 ± 0.40              | 1.00 ± 0.31              |
|                | ED    | 0.49 ± 0.01 <sup>c</sup> | 5.54 ± 2.10 <sup>b</sup> | 2.88 ± 0.70 <sup>c</sup> | 4.49 ± 0.94 <sup>c</sup> | 0.47 ± 0.09 <sup>b</sup> |
|                | LD    | 0.92 ± 0.12              | 3.53 ± 0.89 <sup>b</sup> | 0.35 ± 0.17              | 6.36 ± 1.03 <sup>c</sup> | 0.70 ± 0.11              |
| <i>oct4</i>    | N     | 1.00 ± 0.33              | 1.00 ± 0.60              | 1.00 ± 0.31              | 1.00 ± 0.44              | 1.00 ± 0.46              |
|                | ED    | 1.36 ± 0.96              | 4.61 ± 0.71 <sup>c</sup> | 1.96 ± 0.52 <sup>b</sup> | 0.23 ± 0.09 <sup>b</sup> | 0.58 ± 0.19              |
|                | LD    | 3.33 ± 0.40 <sup>c</sup> | 1.52 ± 0.14              | 1.12 ± 0.05              | 0.37 ± 0.17 <sup>b</sup> | 0.47 ± 0.27              |
| <i>TERT</i>    | N     | 1.00 ± 0.62              | 1.00 ± 0.68              | 1.00 ± 0.35              | 1.00 ± 0.37              | 1.00 ± 0.40              |
|                | ED    | 1.70 ± 0.49              | 0.88 ± 0.32              | 1.74 ± 0.59              | 0.75 ± 0.19              | 0.51 ± 0.10 <sup>b</sup> |
|                | LD    | 0.55 ± 0.27              | 0.63 ± 0.10              | 0.44 ± 0.02              | 0.81 ± 0.14              | 0.91 ± 0.15              |
| <i>H19</i>     | N     | 1.00 ± 0.37              | 1.00 ± 0.40              | 1.00 ± 0.19              | 1.00 ± 0.27              | 1.00 ± 0.49              |
|                | ED    | 1.22 ± 0.40              | 1.78 ± 0.40 <sup>b</sup> | 2.92 ± 0.24 <sup>c</sup> | 0.73 ± 0.21              | 0.96 ± 0.40              |
|                | LD    | 0.79 ± 0.16              | 0.56 ± 0.12              | 0.62 ± 0.18              | 0.62 ± 0.17              | 2.02 ± 1.01              |
| <i>Igf2</i>    | N     | 1.00 ± 0.08              | 1.00 ± 0.04              | 1.00 ± 0.62              | 1.00 ± 0.30              | 1.00 ± 0.27              |
|                | ED    | 2.58 ± 0.84 <sup>b</sup> | 0.90 ± 0.20              | 1.16 ± 0.43              | 2.10 ± 0.47 <sup>b</sup> | 2.26 ± 0.80 <sup>b</sup> |
|                | LD    | 1.07 ± 0.10              | 0.72 ± 0.21              | 0.37 ± 0.03              | 0.79 ± 0.05              | 1.37 ± 0.34              |

<sup>a</sup> The relative histone H4 acetylation in two groups compared with normal control (the relative histone H4 acetylation of normal control were normalized to 1). Values are presented as the mean ± SEM. N denotes normal control, ED denotes early death group and LD denotes late death group.

<sup>b</sup> ( $p < 0.05$ ), <sup>c</sup> ( $p < 0.01$ ) denote significant differences between ED and N, LD and N.

quently abnormal in the ED group, which also showed more pathological organs (Table 4).

#### Expression of *β-actin*, *VEGF*, *H19* and *Igf2*

*Oct4* is only expressed in the germ cells and during early embryo development [30], and *TERT* is not expressed in somatic cells [37]. We therefore only checked the expression of *β-actin*, *VEGF*, *H19* and *Igf2* in the five tissues (heart, liver, spleen, lung and kidney) from normal and cloned cattle by real-time quantitative RT-PCR. The amplified products were identified by melting curve profile analysis and sequencing. The final data showed the relative transcript abundance of each target gene normalized to *GAPDH*.

The relative expression levels of the 4 genes in 5 organs from control and cloned cattle are shown in Table 6. Statistically significant differences between cloned cattle and controls were found in gene expression levels in several organs (Table 7). In the ED group, *β-actin* expression was increased in heart and reduced in spleen compared with controls. For *VEGF*, *H19* and *Igf2*, there was a tendency towards greater expression in the different organs from the cloned cattle. *VEGF* expression was elevated in spleen and kidney, while *H19* ( $P < 0.01$ ) and *Igf2* expression were elevated in heart. In the LD group, only *Igf2* expression was reduced in lung ( $P < 0.05$ ). Most aberrant gene expression was found in the ED group.

**Table 4: Principal abnormalities at necropsy of dead cloned cattle<sup>a</sup>**

| Cattle     | Heart   | Liver                    | Spleen                | Lung   | Kidney |
|------------|---|--------------------------|-----------------------|--|--------|
| <b>ED1</b> | Hypertrophy, patent foramen ovale   | Hepatomegaly, congestion | Normal                | Atelectasis, thickened alveolar wall             | Normal |
| <b>ED2</b> | Valvular agenesis   | Hepatomegaly             | Hemorrhage            | Atelectasis, thickened alveolar wall             | Normal |
| <b>ED3</b> | Hypertrophy, hemorrhage, necrosis, thickened cardiac muscle, patent foramen ovale | Hepatomegaly, congestion | Hemorrhage, two lobes | Congestion, atelectasis, disjunct six lung lobes | Normal |
| <b>LD1</b> | Volvulus induced death, no other obvious organic pathological changes             |                          |                       |  |        |
| <b>LD2</b> | Arthritis induces paralysis, no other obvious organic pathological changes        |                          |                       |  |        |
| <b>LD3</b> | Intestinal spasm, no other obvious organic pathological changes                   |                          |                       |  |        |

<sup>a</sup> All samples were diagnosed by a qualified veterinarian

**Table 5: Variations of histone H4 acetylation in two groups of cloned cattle compared to normal control<sup>a</sup>**

|                | Group | Heart | Liver | Spleen | Lung | Kidney | Organs affected |
|----------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|------|--------|-----------------|
| <i>β-actin</i> | ED    |       |       |        | Down | Up     | 2               |
|                | LD    |       |       | Down   |      | Up     | 2               |
| <i>VEGF</i>    | ED    | Up    |       |        | Down |        | 2               |
|                | LD    |       | Down  |        | Down | Up     | 3               |
| <i>art2</i>    | ED    | Down  | Up    | Up     | Up   | Down   | 5               |
|                | LD    |       | Up    |        | Up   |        | 2               |
| <i>Oct4</i>    | ED    |       | Up    | Up     | Down |        | 3               |
|                | LD    | Up    |       |        | Down |        | 2               |
| <i>TERT</i>    | ED    |       |       |        |      | Down   | 1               |
|                | LD    |       |       |        |      |        | 0               |
| <i>H19</i>     | ED    |       | Up    | Up     |      |        | 2               |
|                | LD    |       |       |        |      |        | 0               |
| <i>Igf2</i>    | ED    | Up    |       |        | Up   | Up     | 3               |
|                | LD    |       |       |        |      |        | 0               |
| Abettant genes | ED    | 3     | 3     | 3      | 5    | 4      | 18 <sup>b</sup> |
|                | LD    | 1     | 2     | 1      | 3    | 2      | 9 <sup>b</sup>  |

<sup>a</sup> Up, denote a significantly higher histone H4 acetylation than normal control. Down, denote a significantly lower histone H4 acetylation than normal control. ED denotes early death group and LD denotes late death group.

<sup>b</sup> Total

**Overall, DNA methylation, histone H4 acetylation and gene expression tended to be elevated in the cloned cattle**

Putting all the cloned cattle samples together, we found that DNA methylation, histone H4 acetylation and gene expression tended to be higher than in controls. The ratio of up:-down-regulation was 7:2 for DNA methylation, 17:10 for histone H4 acetylation and 5:2 for gene expression.

**Discussion**

Many studies have suggested that incomplete or aberrant reprogramming of donor somatic cell nuclei, including abnormal DNA methylation and histone acetylation, causes abnormal development of animal clones [20,21,23,24]. Previous investigations of epigenetic reprogramming have mostly been performed on the genome scale. To date, changes in the epigenetic status of specific genes in embryos and organs of natal clones remain elusive. To our knowledge, this is the first time that DNA methylation, histone H4 acetylation and gene expression have been examined simultaneously for specific genes in cloned cattle.

We found aberrant DNA methylation, histone H4 acetylation and gene expression in different organs from two groups of cloned cattle. The ED group showed fewer severe DNA methylation abnormalities than the LD group, though the abnormalities in histone H4 acetylation and gene expression were more marked. Overall, DNA methylation, histone H4 acetylation and gene

expression in different organs from two groups of cloned cattle. The ED group showed fewer severe DNA methylation abnormalities than the LD group, though the abnormalities in histone H4 acetylation and gene expression were more marked. Overall, DNA methylation, histone H4 acetylation and gene

**Table 6: Expression of four genes in five organs of dead cloned cattle compared to normal control<sup>a</sup>**

| Gene           | Organ Group | Heart                      | Liver         | Spleen                   | Lung                     | Kidney                   |
|----------------|-------------|----------------------------|---------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|--------------------------|
| <i>β-actin</i> | N           | 1.00 ± 0.37                | 1.00 ± 0.50   | 1.00 ± 0.60              | 1.00 ± 1.08              | 1.00 ± 0.72              |
|                | ED          | 4.44 ± 0.40 <sup>b</sup>   | 0.89 ± 0.32   | 0.20 ± 0.03 <sup>b</sup> | 0.21 ± 0.01              | 0.41 ± 0.10              |
|                | LD          | 2.57 ± 1.61                | 0.45 ± 0.36   | 0.45 ± 0.27              | 2.05 ± 2.57              | 0.34 ± 0.15              |
| <i>VEGF</i>    | N           | 1.00 ± 0.42                | 1.00 ± 0.91   | 1.00 ± 0.44              | 1.00 ± 0.39              | 1.00 ± 0.79              |
|                | ED          | 3.62 ± 2.33                | 2.92 ± 1.33   | 1.89 ± 0.04 <sup>b</sup> | 0.96 ± 0.20              | 4.55 ± 1.37 <sup>b</sup> |
|                | LD          | 1.90 ± 1.14                | 1.13 ± 0.32   | 0.49 ± 0.21              | 0.63 ± 0.25              | 2.35 ± 0.69              |
| <i>H19</i>     | N           | 1.00 ± 0.48                | 1.00 ± 0.35   | 1.00 ± 0.31              | 1.00 ± 1.13              | 1.00 ± 0.72              |
|                | ED          | 10.00 ± 3.67 <sup>c</sup>  | 26.38 ± 30.17 | 1.51 ± 1.08              | 0.54 ± 0.26              | 1.83 ± 1.51              |
|                | LD          | 0.71 ± 0.16                | 0.08 ± 0.04   | 0.17 ± 0.14              | 0.53 ± 0.71              | 1.91 ± 0.91              |
| <i>Igf2</i>    | N           | 1.00 ± 0.25                | 1.00 ± 0.09   | 1.00 ± 0.60              | 1.00 ± 0.51              | 1.00 ± 0.93              |
|                | ED          | 18.97 ± 12.83 <sup>b</sup> | 9.85 ± 11.83  | 1.49 ± 0.42              | 1.02 ± 0.28              | 2.18 ± 2.54              |
|                | LD          | 1.15 ± 0.21                | 1.05 ± 0.77   | 0.40 ± 0.59              | 0.21 ± 0.12 <sup>b</sup> | 0.91 ± 0.37              |

<sup>a</sup> The relative transcripts in two groups compared with normal control (the relative transcripts of normal control were normalized to 1). Values are presented as the mean ± SEM. N denotes normal control, ED denotes early death group and LD denotes late death group.

<sup>b</sup> (p < 0.05), <sup>c</sup> (p < 0.01) denote significant differences between ED and N, LD and N.

**Table 7: Variations of expression in two groups of cloned cattle compared to normal control<sup>a</sup>**

| Gene           | Group | Heart | Liver | Spleen | Lung | Kidney | Organs affected |
|----------------|-------|-------|-------|--------|------|--------|-----------------|
| <i>β-actin</i> | ED    | Up    |       | Down   |      |        | 2               |
|                | LD    |       |       |        |      |        | 0               |
| VEGF           | ED    |       |       | Up     |      | Up     | 2               |
|                | LD    |       |       |        |      |        | 0               |
| H19            | ED    | Up    |       |        |      |        | 1               |
|                | LD    |       |       |        |      |        | 0               |
| Igf2           | ED    | Up    |       |        |      |        | 1               |
|                | LD    |       |       |        | Down |        | 1               |
| Aberrant genes | ED    | 3     | 0     | 2      | 0    | 1      | 6 <sup>b</sup>  |
|                | LD    | 0     | 0     | 0      | 1    | 0      | 1 <sup>b</sup>  |

<sup>a</sup> Up, denote a significantly higher gene expression than normal control. Down, denote a significantly lower gene expression than normal control. ED denotes early death group and LD denotes late death group.

<sup>b</sup> Total

expression tended to be greater in cloned cattle than in controls. Our data also suggest that there are no widespread gene expression abnormalities in organs from clones that have died, so the death of clones may be ascribed to abnormal expression of a very limited number of pivotal genes.

Abnormal expression of a few key genes suffices to cause severe consequences. Carmeliet and Ferrara found that lack of a single VEGF allele was lethal in embryos [27,28]. Disruption of imprinted genes (biallelic expression) resulted in overgrowth of the fetus and placenta in mice [38] and severe congenital disorders in humans such as Beckwith-Wiedemann syndrome (BWS), Prader-Willi syndrome (PWS) and Angelman syndrome (AS) [39]. As aberrant expression of a few genes could cause the death of cloned cattle, these causal gene(s) may be different in different cases. Many studies have revealed aberrant expression of genes in cloned animals [40-47], including imprinted genes [43], X-linked genes [48], apoptosis-related genes [42] and other development-related genes. Our data also reveal aberrant expression of imprinted genes and development-related genes in a few organs. Although many gene expression abnormalities have been reported in clones, it is difficult to know to what extent these abnormalities could induce clone death.

DNA methylation is important in regulating gene expression [49]. Appropriate DNA methylation is essential for normal gene function [50]. Recent studies have revealed aberrant methylation of repetitive elements and imprinted genes in cloned embryos during preimplantation development [21,51]. In the present study, we found more severely aberrant DNA methylation in the LD group than the ED group. It is well known that the mammalian genome undergoes two major rounds of epigenetic reprogramming: during germline development and during preimplantation development [52-54]. Appropriate reprogramming of DNA methylation in the early embryo

is crucial for the subsequent development of cloned animals. We speculate that relatively normal reprogramming of methylation occurred during the early development of our cloned cattle, otherwise these animals would not have developed to term. On the other hand, it is reported that epigenetic status can be influenced by environmental factors [55]. As our results indicate that DNA methylation was more variable in cloned cattle that died after the perinatal period than in those that died during that period, we speculate that variations in DNA methylation after birth are sensitive to environmental factors.

More aberrant histone H4 acetylation changes were found in the ED group than in the LD group. These abnormalities of acetylation probably occurred randomly during the development of the cloned cattle. Overall, however, there was a tendency towards greater histone H4 acetylation in the cloned cattle. In particular, the imprinted genes, *H19* and *Igf2*, both showed elevated acetylation levels in all aberrant organs. The increased acetylation levels are noteworthy because crucial aspects of mammalian physiological activity such as reproduction, placentation and energy homeostasis are regulated by imprinted genes [56]. It is reasonable to speculate that if elevated H4 acetylation causes dramatic changes of expression of key gene(s), the animal will die or continue to develop abnormally.

More abnormal acetylation and variations in gene expression were observed in the ED group, which had more organ pathologies, suggesting a correlation between clone death and aberrant gene expression. A previous study showed that aberrant global histone acetylation of somatic chromatin began at the one-cell stage in SCNT [24]. Cloned embryos are more highly acetylated than controls in the trophectoderm at the blastocyst stage [57]. In dead cloned cattle, aberrant gene expression was found in many types of organs [58]. On the basis of these studies and our own observations, we conclude that histone acetylation and gene expression are reprogrammed ran-

domly throughout early development in cloned animals. Some incorrect reprogramming may be corrected during the development of preimplantation embryos, but uncorrected abnormal histone acetylation and gene expression will persist and may result in severe outcomes such as pathological organ changes in the clones. This speculation is consistent with the opinion that small reprogramming errors in redifferentiation can be magnified during the subsequent development of cloned embryos [59].

It is generally known that gene expression is controlled by multiple factors [60,61]. DNA methylation and histone H4 acetylation are only two of these factors. Others such as histone H3 acetylation and histone methylation are also involved. These histone modifications interact cooperatively to regulate gene expression [62]. Transcription factors also participate in the regulation of gene expression. Our results suggest that histone H4 acetylation and DNA methylation may cooperate with other factors to regulate gene expression. Interactions among these factors may counteract the negative effect of one or more of them. In addition, there is a noticeable tendency for DNA methylation, histone H4 acetylation and gene expression to be elevated, and more data are required to reveal the exact influence of this tendency.

The extent of DNA methylation and histone H4 acetylation in the genome as a whole, and the status of other histone modifications, are still unknown in newborn normal and cloned cattle. Therefore, additional studies are needed to elucidate the significance of these unknown epigenetic variations in the development of normal and cloned cattle.

## Conclusion

Abnormal DNA methylation, histone H4 acetylation and gene expression were found in five organs from both ED and LD cloned cattle groups in this research. However, our study showed that no generalized gene expression abnormalities detected in the dead clones. So, we postulate that the deaths of clones may be due to aberrant expression of a very limited number of genes.

## Methods

### **Nuclear transfer and tissue collection**

The nuclear transfer procedure and tissue collection have been described elsewhere [58,63]. The donor nuclei were obtained from skin fibroblast cells of Holstein cows (4 years old). The principal abnormalities of the cloned cattle at necropsy were diagnosed by a qualified veterinarian and are shown in Table 1.

### **Animals**

Three groups of cattle, N, ED and LD, were used in this study. Group N stands for normal controls. This group

comprised N1, N2 and N3, three cattle produced by normal sexual reproduction and slaughtered within two days after birth. ED group comprised ED1, ED2 and ED3, which died during the perinatal period and showed many organ pathologies. The LD group comprised LD1, LD2 and LD3, which died at least 6 months after the perinatal period and showed no pathological changes.

### **Preparation of DNA and RNA**

Genomic DNA was isolated from organs by phenol-chloroform extraction and ethanol precipitation. Total RNA was extracted using a TRIZOL RNA isolation kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, CA, USA). The RNA preparations were treated with RNase-free DNase I to eliminate possible genomic DNA contamination and stored at -70°C.

### **Bisulfite-assisted genomic sequencing of CpG islands**

DNA methylation was analyzed using DNA embedded in low melting point (LMP) agarose and the bisulfite-assisted genomic sequencing method according to Perta Hajkova et al. [64]. A GELase™ Agarose Gel-Digesting Preparation (Epicentre, Madison, Wisconsin, USA) was used to digest the LMP agarose before PCR.

CpG islands were predicted using online software [65,66]. We designed primers flanking the CpG islands using oligo 6.0 software according to the guidelines for primer design by Perta Hajkova et al. [64]. For *β-actin*, *VEGF*, *TERT*, *H19* and *Igf2*, semi-nested primers were designed for efficient amplification. A gradient PCR cycler (Eppendorf, Hamburg, Germany) was used to optimize the annealing temperature. The sequences of the PCR primers used for amplifying the targeted products are shown in Table S1 of Additional File 2: Primers and PCR parameters.

PCR was performed using 50 ng bisulfite-treated DNA in a final volume of 20 microliters. The PCR began with a denaturing step at 94°C for 5 min followed by 35 cycles of 94°C for 20 s, annealing temperature for 30 s and 72°C for 30 s, and a final elongation step at 72°C for 10 min, in a Perkin-Elmer 9600 or 9700 (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA). When semi-nested primers were used, the first-round PCR products were diluted tenfold and used as templates for the second-round PCR. The PCR products were purified using agarose gel elution and cloned into a pMD18-T vector (TaKaRa, Dalian, China). A colony PCR protocol was used to verify the presence of the correct insert. The plasmids with correct insert sizes were sequenced.

### **Chromatin immunoprecipitation (CHIP)**

Chromatin was immunoprecipitated as described previously [67] with some modifications. Briefly, the tissue samples were homogenized (Qiagen, Hilden, Germany) in 1 ml 1% formaldehyde at 30 Hz for 5 min, then cross-

linked for 10 min and washed twice with 1 ml phosphate-buffered saline (PBS). They were then lysed using 1 ml of cell lysis buffer and 1 ml of nuclear lysis buffer successively. Chromatin in the lysis suspension was sheared using a sonicator (Sonics and Materials Inc., Newtown, CT, USA) for 5 rounds of 15 10 s pulses at output level 4 on ice. The sheared suspension was cleared by centrifugation (4°C, 10 min at 12,000 rpm, Eppendorf 5417R, Hamburg, Germany) and was used immediately or stored at -70°C. In our study, 20 µl aliquots of the supernatants were used to extract input DNA and 100 µl aliquots were used in each CHIP reaction. Commercial Salmon Sperm DNA/Protein A Agarose (Upstate, NY, USA) was used as a substitute for the blocked Staph A cells. In addition, 1.5 µg of antibodies against acetylated histone H4 (in general, not against specific residues) (Upstate, 06-866, NY, USA) were used for each sample. The reaction was incubated on a rotating platform at 4°C overnight. Subsequently, 40 µl of Salmon Sperm DNA/Protein A Agarose were added to each sample followed by incubation for 30 min and centrifuging. The pellets were washed twice with 1 × dialysis buffer and four times with IP buffer. IP elution buffer (150 µl) was added and shaken for 15 min on a vortexer (QL901, HaiMen, China); this step was repeated once and the supernatant was collected after centrifugation. RNase A (1 µl of 10 mg/ml) was added, followed by 5 M NaCl to a final concentration of 0.3 M, and the samples were incubated in a 65°C water bath for 4 h to reverse the formaldehyde crosslinks. Then 50 µl of 5× PK buffer and 2.5 µl of proteinase K (10 µg/µl) were added to each sample. After the proteinase K digestion, 170 µl of protein precipitation solution (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) were added, then the mixture was kept on ice for 10 min and centrifuged at 12,000 rpm for 5 min. Finally, CHIP DNA was separated from the supernatant liquid using a DNA purification kit (Promega, Madison, WI, USA) and was eluted in 50 µl water.

#### **Relative quantification ( $\Delta\Delta Ct$ ) of CHIP DNA**

Relative quantification of CHIP DNA was conducted using a 7900 HT system (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) and a SYBR Green qPCR kit. Primers were designed using Primer Express software (Applied Biosystems, Foster City, CA, USA) and are shown in Table S2 of Additional File 2: Primers and PCR parameters.

In the relative quantification ( $\Delta\Delta Ct$ ) of CHIP DNA, ' $\beta$ -actin' of the CHIP input DNA was used as a positive control. Negative controls were set according to the CHIP protocol. The cycling parameters for PCR were as follows: 95°C for 10 min (1 cycle), then 95°C for 30 s, 60°C (or 56°C and 62°C, varied according to different primers) for 30 s and 72°C for 30 s (40 cycles). The specificity of the amplified products was examined on 2.5% agarose gels.

The quantity of each tested gene compared to controls in organs from different cloned individuals in the ED and LD groups was calculated by formula  $R = 2^{-\Delta\Delta Ct}$ .  $\beta$ -actin of the CHIP input DNA was selected as the control gene. The differences between the mean Ct values of the tested genes in CHIP DNA and the positive control gene were denoted  $\Delta Ct$ . The difference between the  $\Delta Ct$  in cloned cattle (ED and LD) and controls was labeled  $\Delta\Delta Ct$ .

#### **Reverse transcription and relative quantification (standard curve) of gene transcripts**

Reverse transcription reactions were carried out using an RT kit (Invitrogen, Carlsbad, USA) with approximately 1 µg RNA in a total volume of 20 µl and oligo-dT primers.

The expression levels of the four genes were quantified by quantitative real-time PCR on the 7900 HT system using SYBR Green qPCR kit. The primers for quantitative PCR are shown in Table S3 of Additional File 2: Primers and PCR parameters. The PCR reaction mixture (15 µl) contained 7.5 µl SYBR Green qPCR mix, 1 µl forward primer (1.5 µM), 1 µl reverse primer (1.5 µM), 1 µl cDNA template and 4.5 µl H<sub>2</sub>O. PCR was conducted at 95°C (10 min) for initial denaturation, followed by 40 cycles of 15 s at 95°C for DNA denaturation and 1 min at 60°C for primer annealing and extension. The melting protocol was 4 cycles of 15 s at 95°C, 15 s at 60°C and 15 s at 95°C.

Gene expression was quantified using the relative standard curve method. The housekeeping gene *Glyceraldehyde-3-phosphate (GAPDH)* was used as an endogenous RNA control. The amplified *GAPDH* PCR fragment was cloned into a plasmid. Serial dilutions (10–10<sup>6</sup> copies/well) of the plasmid were used to form a standard curve of the cycle threshold (Ct) value. The amplification of target genes and *GAPDH* was examined using the same cDNA from one RT-PCR tube for each sample. The amount of mRNA of each gene was determined from the relative standard curve and divided by the mean quantity of *GAPDH* to obtain a relative transcript value. Then the mean and standard deviation of replicates for each sample were calculated.

#### **Statistical analysis**

Data are presented as mean ± SEM. Statistically significant differences among the 3 groups were tested by one-way ANOVA using SPSS software (version 10.0; SPSS, Inc., Chicago, IL, USA). Differences were considered to be statistically significant at the 95% confidence level ( $p < 0.05$ ).

#### **Authors' contributions**

LL carried out the DNA methylation and gene expression studies, participated in data statistical analysis and drafted the manuscript. QL carried out the histone H4 acetylation



studies, participated in data statistical analysis and drafted the manuscript. LZ carried out the sample collection. DZ carried out the sequence cloning of *TERT*. YD participated in somatic cell nuclear transfer. NL conceived of the study, and participated in its design and coordination and helped to draft the manuscript. All authors read and approved the final manuscript.

## Additional material

### Additional file 1

Data of DNA methylation, histone acetylation and gene expression in individual cattle. The data provided represent the DNA methylation, histone acetylation and gene expression in individual cattle.

Click here for file

[<http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-213X-8-14-S1.DATA>]

### Additional file 2

Primers and PCR parameters. The table provided shows primers and PCR parameters used for CHIP DNA and mRNA detection.

Click here for file

[<http://www.biomedcentral.com/content/supplementary/1471-213X-8-14-S2.pdf>]

## Acknowledgements

We thank Prof. YaoFeng Zhao for his proofreading. This work was supported by the Natural Scientific Foundation of China and Beijing Natural Scientific Foundation 2006CB102100.

## References

1. Baguisi A, Behboodi E, Melican DT, Pollock JS, Destrempe MM, Camuso C, Williams JL, Nims SD, Porter CA, Midura P, et al.: **Production of goats by somatic cell nuclear transfer.** *Nat Biotechnol* 1999, **17**:456-61.
2. Campbell KH, McWhir J, Ritchie WA, Wilmut I: **Sheep cloned by nuclear transfer from a cultured cell line.** *Nature* 1996, **380**:64-6.
3. Choi YH, Love CC, Chung YG, Varner DD, Westhusin ME, Burghardt RC, Hinrichs K: **Production of nuclear transfer horse embryos by Piezo-driven injection of somatic cell nuclei and activation with stallion sperm cytosolic extract.** *Biol Reprod* 2002, **67**:561-7.
4. Kato Y, Tani T, Sotomaru Y, Kurokawa K, Kato J, Doguchi H, Yasue H, Tsunoda Y: **Eight calves cloned from somatic cells of a single adult.** *Science* 1998, **282**:2095-8.
5. Onishi A, Iwamoto M, Akita T, Mikawa S, Takeda K, Awata T, Hanada H, Perry AC: **Pig cloning by microinjection of fetal fibroblast nuclei.** *Science* 2000, **289**:1188-90.
6. Shin T, Kraemer D, Pryor J, Liu L, Rugila J, Howe L, Buck S, Murphy K, Lyons L, Westhusin M: **A cat cloned by nuclear transplantation.** *Nature* 2002, **415**:859.
7. Wakayama T, Perry AC, Zuccotti M, Johnson KR, Yanagimachi R: **Full-term development of mice from enucleated oocytes injected with cumulus cell nuclei.** *Nature* 1998, **394**:369-74.
8. Zhou Q, Renard JP, Le Friec G, Brochard V, Beaujean N, Cherifi Y, Fraichard A, Cozzi J: **Generation of fertile cloned rats by regulating oocyte activation.** *Science* 2003, **302**:1179.
9. Yang X, Smith SL, Tian XC, Lewin HA, Renard JP, Wakayama T: **Nuclear reprogramming of cloned embryos and its implications for therapeutic cloning.** *Nat Genet* 2007, **39**:295-302.
10. Yang X, Tian XC, Kubota C, Page R, Xu J, Cibelli J, Seidel G Jr: **Risk assessment of meat and milk from cloned animals.** *Nat Biotechnol* 2007, **25**:77-83.
11. Wilmut I, Beaujean N, de Sousa PA, Dinnyes A, King TJ, Paterson LA, Wells DN, Young LE: **Somatic cell nuclear transfer.** *Nature* 2002, **419**:583-6.
12. Reik W, Santos F, Dean W: **Mammalian epigenomics: reprogramming the genome for development and therapy.** *Theriogenology* 2003, **59**:21-32.
13. Ng RK, Gurdon JB: **Epigenetic memory of active gene transcription is inherited through somatic cell nuclear transfer.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2005, **102**:1957-62.
14. Fulka J Jr, Miyashita N, Nagai T, Ogura A: **Do cloned mammals skip a reprogramming step?** *Nat Biotechnol* 2004, **22**:25-6.
15. Gardiner-Garden M, Frommer M: **CpG islands in vertebrate genomes.** *J Mol Biol* 1987, **196**:261-82.
16. Larsen F, Gundersen G, Lopez R, Prydz H: **CpG islands as gene markers in the human genome.** *Genomics* 1992, **13**:1095-107.
17. Plass C, Soloway PD: **DNA methylation, imprinting and cancer.** *Eur J Hum Genet* 2002, **10**:6-16.
18. Goll MG, Bestor TH: **Histone modification and replacement in chromatin activation.** *Genes Dev* 2002, **16**:1739-42.
19. Cezar GG: **Epigenetic reprogramming of cloned animals.** *Cloning Stem Cells* 2003, **5**:165-80.
20. Dean W, Santos F, Stojkovic M, Zakhartchenko V, Walter J, Wolf E, Reik W: **Conservation of methylation reprogramming in mammalian development: aberrant reprogramming in cloned embryos.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2001, **98**:13734-8.
21. Kang YK, Koo DB, Park JS, Choi YH, Chung AS, Lee KK, Han YM: **Aberrant methylation of donor genome in cloned bovine embryos.** *Nat Genet* 2001, **28**:173-7.
22. Weber M, Milligan L, Delalbre A, Antoine E, Brunel C, Cathala G, Forne T: **Extensive tissue-specific variation of allelic methylation in the *Igf2* gene during mouse fetal development: relation to expression and imprinting.** *Mech Dev* 2001, **101**:133-41.
23. Suteevun T, Parnpai R, Smith SL, Chang CC, Muenthaisong S, Tian XC: **Epigenetic characteristics of cloned and in vitro-fertilized swamp buffalo (*Bubalus bubalis*) embryos.** *J Anim Sci* 2006, **84**:2065-71.
24. Wee G, Koo DB, Song BS, Kim JS, Kang MJ, Moon SJ, Kang YK, Lee KK, Han YM: **Inheritable histone H4 acetylation of somatic chromatin in cloned embryos.** *J Biol Chem* 2006, **281**:6048-57.
25. Shumaker DK, Kuczumski ER, Goldman RD: **The nucleoskeleton: lamins and actin are major players in essential nuclear functions.** *Curr Opin Cell Biol* 2003, **15**:358-66.
26. Rando OJ, Zhao K, Crabtree GR: **Searching for a function for nuclear actin.** *Trends Cell Biol* 2000, **10**:92-7.
27. Carmeliet P, Ferreira V, Breier G, Pollefeyt S, Kieckens L, Gertsensstein M, Fahrig M, Vandenhoeck A, Harpal K, Eberhardt C, et al.: **Abnormal blood vessel development and lethality in embryos lacking a single VEGF allele.** *Nature* 1996, **380**:435-9.
28. Ferrara N, Carver-Moore K, Chen H, Dowd M, Lu L, O'Shea KS, Powell-Braxton L, Hillan KJ, Moore MW: **Heterozygous embryonic lethality induced by targeted inactivation of the VEGF gene.** *Nature* 1996, **380**:439-42.
29. Dor Y, Klewer SE, McDonald JA, Keshet E, Camenisch TD: **VEGF modulates early heart valve formation.** *Anat Rec A Discov Mol Cell Evol Biol* 2003, **271**:202-8.
30. Nichols J, Zevnik B, Anastasiadis K, Niwa H, Klewe-Nebenius D, Chambers I, Scholer H, Smith A: **Formation of pluripotent stem cells in the mammalian embryo depends on the POU transcription factor Oct4.** *Cell* 1998, **95**:379-91.
31. Artandi SE: **Telomeres, telomerase, and human disease.** *N Engl J Med* 2006, **355**:1195-7.
32. DeChiara TM, Robertson EJ, Efstratiadis A: **Parental imprinting of the mouse insulin-like growth factor II gene.** *Cell* 1991, **64**:849-59.
33. Stewart CE, Rotwein P: **Growth, differentiation, and survival: multiple physiological functions for insulin-like growth factors.** *Physiol Rev* 1996, **76**:1005-26.
34. Killian JK, Nolan CM, Stewart N, Munday BL, Andersen NA, Nicol S, Jirtle RL: **Monotreme IGF2 expression and ancestral origin of genomic imprinting.** *J Exp Zool* 2001, **291**:205-12.
35. Zhang S, Kubota C, Yang L, Zhang Y, Page R, O'Neill M, Yang X, Tian XC: **Genomic imprinting of *H19* in naturally reproduced and cloned cattle.** *Biol Reprod* 2004, **71**:1540-4.
36. Duncan CH: **Novel Alu-type repeat in artiodactyls.** *Nucleic Acids Res* 1987, **15**:1340.

37. Cong YS, Wen J, Bacchetti S: **The human telomerase catalytic subunit hTERT: organization of the gene and characterization of the promoter.** *Hum Mol Genet* 1999, **8**:137-42.
38. Young LE, Fairburn HR: **Improving the safety of embryo technologies: possible role of genomic imprinting.** *Theriogenology* 2000, **53**:627-48.
39. Hitchens MP, Moore GE: **Genomic imprinting in fetal growth and development.** *Expert Reviews in Molecular Medicine* 2004, **4**:1-19.
40. Kohda T, Inoue K, Ogonuki N, Miki H, Naruse M, Kaneko-Ishino T, Ogura A, Ishino F: **Variation in gene expression and aberrantly regulated chromosome regions in cloned mice.** *Biol Reprod* 2005, **73**:1302-11.
41. Sebastiano V, Gentile L, Garagna S, Redi CA, Zuccotti M: **Cloned pre-implantation mouse embryos show correct timing but altered levels of gene expression.** *Mol Reprod Dev* 2005, **70**:146-54.
42. Nakagawa T, Yamaguchi M: **Overexpression of regucalcin suppresses apoptotic cell death in cloned normal rat kidney proximal tubular epithelial NRK52E cells: change in apoptosis-related gene expression.** *J Cell Biochem* 2005, **96**:1274-85.
43. Long JE, Cai X: **Igf-2r expression regulated by epigenetic modification and the locus of gene imprinting disrupted in cloned cattle.** *Gene* 2007, **388**:125-34.
44. Li X, Amarnath D, Kato Y, Tsunoda Y: **Analysis of development-related gene expression in cloned bovine blastocysts with different developmental potential.** *Cloning Stem Cells* 2006, **8**:41-50.
45. Oishi M, Gohma H, Hashizume K, Taniguchi Y, Yasue H, Takahashi S, Yamada T, Sasaki Y: **Early embryonic death-associated changes in genome-wide gene expression profiles in the fetal placenta of the cow carrying somatic nuclear-derived cloned embryo.** *Mol Reprod Dev* 2006, **73**:404-9.
46. Schrader AD, Iqbal MJ, Jones KL: **Gene expression in cloned bovine fetal liver.** *Cloning Stem Cells* 2003, **5**:63-9.
47. Humpherys D, Eggan K, Akutsu H, Friedman A, Hochedlinger K, Yanagimachi R, Lander ES, Golub TR, Jaenisch R: **Abnormal gene expression in cloned mice derived from embryonic stem cell and cumulus cell nuclei.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2002, **99**:12889-94.
48. Xue F, Tian XC, Du F, Kubota C, Taneja M, Dinnyes A, Dai Y, Levine H, Pereira LV, Yang X: **Aberrant patterns of X chromosome inactivation in bovine clones.** *Nat Genet* 2002, **31**:216-20.
49. Morgan HD, Santos F, Green K, Dean W, Reik W: **Epigenetic reprogramming in mammals.** *Hum Mol Genet* 2005, **14**(Spec No 1):R47-58.
50. Jones PA, Takai D: **The role of DNA methylation in mammalian epigenetics.** *Science* 2001, **293**:1068-70.
51. Mann MR, Chung YG, Nolen LD, Verona RI, Latham KE, Bartolomei MS: **Disruption of imprinted gene methylation and expression in cloned preimplantation stage mouse embryos.** *Biol Reprod* 2003, **69**:902-14.
52. Reik W, Dean W, Walter J: **Epigenetic reprogramming in mammalian development.** *Science* 2001, **293**:1089-93.
53. Rideout WM 3rd, Eggan K, Jaenisch R: **Nuclear cloning and epigenetic reprogramming of the genome.** *Science* 2001, **293**:1093-8.
54. Wolffe AP, Matzke MA: **Epigenetics: regulation through repression.** *Science* 1999, **286**:481-6.
55. Jaenisch R, Bird A: **Epigenetic regulation of gene expression: how the genome integrates intrinsic and environmental signals.** *Nat Genet* 2003, **33**(Suppl):245-54.
56. Tilghman SM: **The Sins of the Fathers and Mothers: Review Genomic Imprinting in Mammalian Development.** *Cell* 1999, **96**:185-193.
57. Santos F, Zakhartchenko V, Stojkovic M, Peters A, Jenuwein T, Wolf E, Reik W, Dean W: **Epigenetic marking correlates with developmental potential in cloned bovine preimplantation embryos.** *Curr Biol* 2003, **13**:1116-21.
58. Li S, Li Y, Du W, Zhang L, Yu S, Dai Y, Zhao C, Li N: **Aberrant gene expression in organs of bovine clones that die within two days after birth.** *Biol Reprod* 2005, **72**:258-65.
59. Smith SL, Everts RE, Tian XC, Du F, Sung LY, Rodriguez-Zas SL, Jeong BS, Renard JP, Lewin HA, Yang X: **Global gene expression profiles reveal significant nuclear reprogramming by the blastocyst stage after cloning.** *Proc Natl Acad Sci USA* 2005, **102**:17582-7.
60. Lee LG, Britton P, Parra F, Boronat A, Kornberg H: **Expression of the ptsH+ gene of Escherichia coli cloned on plasmid pBR322. A convenient means for obtaining the histidine-containing carrier protein HPr.** *FEBS Lett* 1982, **149**:288-92.
61. Wagner A: **Genes regulated cooperatively by one or more transcription factors and their identification in whole eukaryotic genomes.** *Bioinformatics* 1999, **15**:776-84.
62. Yang Y, Li T, Vu TH, Ulaner GA, Hu JF, Hoffman AR: **The histone code regulating expression of the imprinted mouse Igf2r gene.** *Endocrinology* 2003, **144**:5658-70.
63. Gong G, Dai Y, Fan B, Zhu H, Wang H, Wang L, Fang C, Wan R, Liu Y, Li R, et al.: **Production of transgenic blastocyst by nuclear transfer from different types of somatic cells in cattle.** *Sci China C Life Sci* 2004, **47**:183-9.
64. Hajkova P, el-Maarri O, Engemann S, Oswald J, Olek A, Walter J: **DNA-methylation analysis by the bisulfite-assisted genomic sequencing method.** *Methods Mol Biol* 2002, **200**:143-54.
65. Li LC, Dahiya R: **MethPrimer: designing primers for methylation PCRs.** *Bioinformatics* 2002, **18**:1427-31.
66. **MethPrimer** [<http://www.urogene.org/methprimer>]
67. **The Farnham Laboratory** [<http://genomics.ucdavis.edu/farnham/protocol.html>]

Publish with **BioMed Central** and every scientist can read your work free of charge

"BioMed Central will be the most significant development for disseminating the results of biomedical research in our lifetime."

Sir Paul Nurse, Cancer Research UK

Your research papers will be:

- available free of charge to the entire biomedical community
- peer reviewed and published immediately upon acceptance
- cited in PubMed and archived on PubMed Central
- yours — you keep the copyright

Submit your manuscript here:  
[http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing\\_adv.asp](http://www.biomedcentral.com/info/publishing_adv.asp)

